

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 02/28/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/371,463	08/10/1999	GLEN H. LOWE	3COM-2496.IP	9263
75	90 02/28/2002			
WAGNER MURABITO & HAO LLP			EXAMINER	
THIRD FLOOF			PATEL, NITIN C	
SAN JOSE, CA	. 95113		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2105	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

HG

<u>·</u>				fil			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/371,463	LOWE ET AL.	•••			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nitin C.Patel	2185				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address				
THE II - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communionate (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:					
10) 🔲 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)□ objected to by the E	xaminer.				
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '					
11) 🔲 🗀	The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
-	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of the control of the control of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the control of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application for a list of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the list of the prior application from the prior application f	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		•			
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional appli	cation).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language protection of the foreign language protection.						
Attachment	(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office						



Art Unit: 2185

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 are presented for the examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-12, 14-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by over Matsuzawa Pat- No: JP402149041A.
- 4. As per claims 1, and 8, Matsuzawa teaches the invention comprising:
- a. a collision detection [sends a jam signal and detecting collision if other station uses the line, abstract, lines 15-16];
- b. determining restricted back off time [back off time], which is substantially equal or less than a restricted time value [minimum back off time, abstract, lines 18-19];
- c. causing the peripheral component to wait for restricted back off time before trying to retransmit [abstract, lines 16-17].
- 5. As per claims 2-5, 7-12, 14-19, and 21

Matsuzawa teaches an Ethernet network in CSMA/CD system with appropriate network interface [abstract, line17].

Application/Control Number: 09/371,463

Art Unit: 2185

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claims 6, 13, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuzawa Pat-No: JP402149041A as applied to claims 1-5, 7-12, 14-19, and 21 above, and further in view of Berkema et al., US Patent Number 5,699,515.
- 9. Matsuzawa does not teach in detail about the method of determination for the restricted back off time. Berkema et al, teach in detail to calculate the back off time using random number generating function [35, fig. 2, col. 2 lines 50-55 col. 4, lines 24-28]. It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of the cited reference because they both directed to the problem of back off scheme for access on a local area network, and Berkema et al. teach the details in generating the back off time used by Matsuzawa.

Art Unit: 2185

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 10. disclosure.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nitin C. Patel whose telephone number is 703-305-3994. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on 703-305-9717. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100